

Public Sector Reform and Service Delivery Innovation in Developing Economies: Frameworks, Challenges, and Pathways to Citizen-Centred Governance

Jumai Ahmadu^{1*}, Naomi Oboyi²

¹Federal Capital Territory Administration, FCTA

²Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This review critically examines the evolution of public sector reform and service delivery innovation in developing economies, with particular emphasis on institutional capacity, administrative modernisation, digital transformation, collaborative governance and citizen-centred service design. Using a structured narrative review of multidisciplinary scholarship and applied evidence from developing-country contexts, the study synthesises conceptual frameworks, implementation experiences and operational models relevant to contemporary public administration. The analysis finds that reform outcomes depend less on the formal adoption of managerial or technological instruments than on the institutional conditions that support their implementation. New Public Management has strengthened attention to efficiency, performance measurement and managerial accountability, yet its effectiveness is constrained where administrative capacity, coordination and oversight remain weak. Digital-era governance offers substantial opportunities for integrated services, real-time decision-making and improved accessibility, but benefits are uneven where infrastructure deficits, digital exclusion, fragmented data systems and limited workforce readiness persist. The review further shows that co-production, collaborative governance and citizen-centred service design can enhance responsiveness and public value when participation is meaningful, inclusive and institutionally embedded. Sustainable reform is therefore associated with strong state capacity, professional public workforces, interoperable systems, resilient infrastructure, evidence-based prioritisation and credible accountability mechanisms. The study concludes that reform in developing economies should be context-sensitive, sequenced realistically and evaluated according to citizen outcomes rather than administrative activity alone. It recommends strengthening inter-agency coordination, investing in digital and physical infrastructure, institutionalising performance and feedback systems, protecting inclusive access channels, expanding citizen participation and aligning reform ambitions with implementation capacity to improve trust, legitimacy and long-term service effectiveness. These priorities provide a practical foundation for adaptive governance.

Keywords: Public sector reform; service delivery innovation; citizen-centred governance; digital transformation; state capacity; collaborative governance

SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology (2024);

DOI: 10.18090/samriddhi.v16i04.09

INTRODUCTION

Public Sector Reform in Developing Economies

Public sector reform in developing economies represents a sustained effort to recalibrate the institutions, administrative systems and organisational capabilities through which states formulate policy, allocate public resources and deliver essential services. Although reform agendas vary considerably across political and institutional contexts, they commonly seek to improve administrative efficiency, accountability, responsiveness, fiscal discipline and the capacity of public institutions to translate policy commitments into

Corresponding Author: Jumai Ahmadu, Federal Capital Territory Administration, FCTA, Email: jumaibuahmadu@yahoo.com

How to cite this article: Ahmadu, J., Oboyi, N. (2024). Public Sector Reform and Service Delivery Innovation in Developing Economies: Frameworks, Challenges, and Pathways to Citizen-Centred Governance. *SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 16(4), 193-204.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

measurable societal outcomes. The contemporary reform debate has therefore moved beyond the narrow objective of reducing bureaucratic expenditure toward the more substantive challenge of constructing capable states that can respond effectively to complex developmental demands. Comparative evidence demonstrates that reform trajectories in developing countries have evolved through successive administrative paradigms, with uneven results arising from differences in institutional capacity, political incentives and implementation environments (Knox & Sharipova, 2023).

Historically, many developing countries adopted reform instruments derived from New Public Management (NPM), including performance measurement, managerial autonomy, decentralisation, contracting, competition and private-sector management techniques. Yet the transplantation of these instruments frequently produced mixed outcomes because administrative reforms were introduced into institutional environments markedly different from those in which the models originated. The Nigerian experience, for example, demonstrates that selective adoption, inconsistent implementation, weak institutionalisation and inadequate political commitment can limit the effectiveness of otherwise ambitious administrative reforms (Ikeanyibe, 2016). Similar implementation difficulties observed in Nepal indicate that NPM principles cannot simply be transferred as standardised technical packages where bureaucratic competence, accountability institutions and administrative infrastructure remain underdeveloped (Bhul, 2023).

Consequently, contemporary scholarship increasingly conceptualises public sector reform as an institutionally embedded and politically mediated process rather than a mechanical application of universal managerial prescriptions. Effective reform requires attention to political economy, organisational incentives, administrative functions and the capacity of actors to adapt programmes to local realities. This perspective challenges reform strategies centred primarily on institutional form and instead prioritises whether administrative arrangements actually improve government performance and solve identifiable public problems (Brinkerhoff & Brinkerhoff, 2015). Such an orientation is particularly significant in developing economies where imported organisational templates may create formal compliance without corresponding improvements in implementation capacity.

The problem-driven iterative adaptation perspective extends this argument by emphasising locally identified problems, experimentation, feedback and gradual institutional learning. Rather than reproducing externally prescribed institutional models, reform becomes an iterative process through which public organisations build capabilities by diagnosing operational constraints and testing contextually appropriate solutions (Andrews, Pritchett & Woolcock, 2013). This approach is pertinent where governments simultaneously confront fiscal scarcity, infrastructure deficiencies, rapidly expanding populations and persistent demands for improved education, healthcare, security and social protection.

State capacity is equally inseparable from the political foundations upon which reform occurs. Administrative organisations operate within distributions of power that influence resource allocation, bureaucratic incentives and the feasibility of institutional change; accordingly, apparently technical reforms may succeed or fail according to the coalitions, incentives and governance arrangements surrounding implementation (Hickey & Sen, 2024). Within African settings, ethical leadership further assumes particular importance because reform effectiveness depends not merely on formal rules but on leadership capable of sustaining policy implementation, institutional credibility and developmental coordination (Enaifoghe, Dlamini & Agwuna, 2020).

Experience at the municipal level in South Africa similarly illustrates the limitations of treating efficiency-oriented managerial reforms as sufficient responses to service-delivery deficiencies, particularly where institutional capacity and governance conditions constrain implementation (Munzhedzi, 2021). Public sector reform must therefore reconcile managerial efficiency with democratic accountability, equity and responsiveness. Public value scholarship reinforces this wider orientation by positioning citizens, communities and non-state organisations as participants in resolving collective problems rather than merely customers receiving government outputs (Bryson, Crosby & Bloomberg, 2014).

Such citizen orientation is especially consequential in developing economies, where administrative legitimacy often depends upon whether reforms generate visible improvements in access, fairness and service quality. Reform consequently requires public servants capable of balancing efficiency with social values and navigating increasingly collaborative governance environments (Samaratunge & Wijewardena, 2009). Public sector reform is thus best understood as a continuing process of institutional adaptation through which developing states strengthen administrative capability, accountability and responsiveness while aligning governmental structures with changing citizen expectations and development priorities.

Changing Expectations for Public Service Delivery

Public expectations of government service delivery have shifted from passive acceptance of standardized administrative provision toward demands for services that are accessible, timely, transparent, responsive and attentive to lived conditions. In developing economies, this shift is intensified by urbanisation, demographic pressure, digital connectivity and exposure to service standards outside government. Public agencies are assessed not merely by whether programmes exist, but by whether people can reach and effectively use them. Nigerian evidence on rural healthcare access illustrates this distinction: the existence of health facilities does not guarantee service availability when poor road conditions and spatial isolation constrain physical access (Alaka, Ajayi & Bolaji, 2020).



Expectations are also becoming more adaptive during periods of disruption. Evidence from Nigerian cities during COVID-19 movement restrictions showed how mobility constraints altered travel and transport choices, highlighting the importance of continuity, flexibility and context-sensitive public provision when conventional delivery channels are interrupted (Ajayi, Alaka & Tihamiyu, 2020). Citizens consequently expect governments to anticipate vulnerability and redesign delivery arrangements around changing social circumstances rather than preserve rigid administrative routines.

Digitalisation has further transformed the benchmark against which public services are judged. Users increasingly expect integrated, convenient and real-time interactions comparable to those available through private digital platforms; accordingly, public-sector digital transformation involves organisational and cultural change rather than simply converting analogue procedures into electronic formats (Mergel, Edelmann & Haug, 2019). Connectivity also expands expectations for participation, as digital platforms permit citizens to contribute information, expertise and effort to service production rather than remain passive recipients (Linders, 2012).

These expectations remain grounded in questions of reliability and trust. South African evidence from the water sector shows that citizens' expectations intersect with municipal obligations, institutional capacity and confidence in government's ability to deliver essential services (Sershen et al., 2016). Such pressures require organisations to understand service delivery as a relational process in which value emerges through interactions among providers, users and service systems.

Accordingly, citizen-centred governance demands a shift from designing services around internal administrative convenience toward understanding how public value is experienced by service users. A public-service-dominant perspective reinforces this orientation by emphasising service processes, user experience and value creation rather than treating public provision as the production of standardized outputs (Osborne, Radnor & Nasi, 2013).

Governance Deficits and Reform Imperatives

Governance deficits in developing economies are rarely confined to a single institutional weakness; rather, they emerge from interacting problems of limited administrative capacity, fragmented accountability, weak regulatory enforcement, politicised decision-making and uneven implementation. These deficiencies matter because public institutions mediate the conversion of resources, mandates and commitments into services experienced by citizens. Governance quality therefore depends not only on structures, but also on the professional competence, autonomy and organisational capacity of bureaucracies to execute public authority consistently and credibly (Fukuyama, 2013).

In many reform environments, rules and oversight

mechanisms exist formally yet remain weakly institutionalised in practice. Evidence from Nigerian insurance firms demonstrates how structured IT governance frameworks can strengthen regulatory compliance by clarifying controls, responsibilities and accountability mechanisms, illustrating the broader importance of governance architecture for institutional performance (Fadero et al., 2018). Comparable concerns arise where compliance and operational quality depend on governance arrangements that coordinate actors, establish standards and link responsibility to measurable outcomes (Akin-Oluyomi & Akhigbe, 2022).

Governance deficits also become visible when public organisations fail to translate policy commitments into reliable services. In South Africa, evidence from Limpopo links service-delivery difficulties to mismanagement, corruption and maladministration, showing how weaknesses in governance directly affect citizens' encounters with the state (Matoane & Mukonza, 2024). Such failures erode confidence, widen implementation gaps and intensify demands for reforms that strengthen oversight, financial stewardship and administrative professionalism.

Yet reform imperatives must be sequenced realistically. Developing states often face long lists of desirable governance improvements despite constrained resources and limited implementation capacity. A "good enough governance" perspective therefore stresses prioritisation, feasibility and context-sensitive institutional development rather than simultaneous pursuit of idealised reforms (Grindle, 2004). This is particularly important where governments must balance anti-corruption measures, service expansion, digital modernisation and workforce reform under fiscal and political constraints.

Moreover, anti-corruption reform cannot be reduced to additional rules when dysfunctional practices are systemic. Research from Kenya and Uganda shows that reforms may falter when corruption functions as a collective-action problem rather than merely a principal-agent failure, because actors may expect others to continue corrupt behaviour despite formal controls (Persson, Rothstein & Teorell, 2013). Reform imperatives must therefore combine institutional redesign with credible enforcement, leadership commitment, transparent accountability and implementation strategies capable of altering entrenched incentives.

Scope and Objectives of the Review

This review examines public sector reform and service delivery innovation in developing economies, with particular attention to the institutional, managerial, technological and citizen-oriented dimensions that shape contemporary governance. Its scope covers the evolution of reform frameworks, the changing expectations placed on public institutions, the persistence of governance and implementation deficits, and the emergence of new approaches designed to improve accessibility, responsiveness, accountability and public value. The discussion is situated within the realities of developing

economies, where reform agendas frequently operate under conditions of fiscal constraint, administrative fragmentation, infrastructure gaps, uneven digital capacity and rising public expectations.

The review has four principal objectives. First, it seeks to clarify the major conceptual and administrative frameworks that have influenced public sector reform, including managerial, institutional, digital and collaborative approaches. Second, it evaluates how service delivery innovation is reshaping interactions between governments and citizens through digitalisation, data-driven decision-making, integrated service systems and participatory models of governance. Third, it identifies the structural, organisational and political barriers that often prevent reform initiatives from producing durable improvements in public-sector performance. Fourth, it explores practical pathways for strengthening citizen-centred governance by aligning institutional capacity, leadership, accountability systems, workforce capability and service design with the needs of diverse populations.

By integrating these dimensions, the review provides a coherent basis for understanding why some reforms generate meaningful service improvements while others remain procedural or short-lived. It therefore focuses on the conditions under which reform can move beyond administrative restructuring to produce more inclusive, adaptive, transparent and reliable public services across developing-economy contexts, while preserving institutional legitimacy and long-term public trust.

PUBLIC SECTOR REFORM FRAMEWORKS

New Public Management and Administrative Modernisation

New Public Management (NPM) emerged as a reform doctrine that sought to replace rule-bound, input-focused bureaucracy with managerial systems centred on results, efficiency, accountability and measurable performance. Its defining logic promoted explicit standards, professional management, disaggregation of large bureaucracies, competitive mechanisms and disciplined resource use, thereby recasting public organisations as performance-oriented service institutions rather than purely procedural administrative structures (Hood, 1991). In developing economies, this orientation became attractive because governments faced persistent pressure to improve service quality while containing expenditure, strengthening accountability and responding to citizens' dissatisfaction with slow and fragmented administrative processes.

Administrative modernisation under NPM therefore involves more than organisational restructuring. It requires performance information, clear managerial responsibility, workforce capability and processes that permit continuous improvement. Theoretical analysis of NPM shows that its intellectual foundations combine

managerialism, public-choice reasoning and organisational reform traditions, explaining why the model emphasises incentives, decentralised responsibility and measurable outputs (Gruening, 2001). These ideas remain relevant where ministries and agencies seek to reduce duplication, shorten processing times and link managerial discretion to transparent performance obligations.

Operational improvement literature also provides useful mechanisms for translating this reform logic into organisational practice. Integrated Lean Six Sigma and reliability-centred approaches demonstrate the value of combining process discipline, waste reduction, reliability and data-driven performance governance within a coherent improvement architecture (Olutimehin & Ganiu, 2022). Likewise, workforce-centred operational design highlights that productivity gains depend on matching tasks, capabilities and staffing arrangements rather than treating labour as a static administrative input (Akanbi, Ganiu & Sunday, 2022). Statistical process-control principles further demonstrate how systematic measurement can detect variation, establish performance baselines and support corrective action before inefficiencies become entrenched (Akanbi, Ganiu & Sunday, 2022).

For public administration, however, modernisation cannot be reduced to the mechanical importation of private-sector methods. Evidence from South Africa indicates that NPM and post-NPM reforms increasingly coexist, reflecting the need to combine managerial efficiency with coordination, public accountability and governance arrangements suited to complex state responsibilities (Molobela & Uwizeyimana, 2023). Ghana's experience during the COVID-19 crisis similarly illustrates how administrative reform can support government continuity when institutions rapidly adapt work processes, digital practices and organisational routines to sustain essential functions under disruption (Bawole & Langnel, 2023).

Reform sequencing also matters: agencies need baseline capacity, reliable data and enforceable accountability before performance regimes can work. Without these foundations, modernisation may create reporting burdens, superficial targets and fragmentation instead of sustained improvements in public value.

The trajectory of modernisation has also moved beyond classic NPM fragmentation. Digital-era governance emphasises reintegration, needs-based service organisation and extensive digitalisation, partly in response to transaction costs and coordination problems created by highly disaggregated public systems (Dunleavy et al., 2006). For developing economies, this evolution suggests that administrative modernisation should preserve NPM's concern with performance and managerial accountability while avoiding reforms that weaken institutional coherence or public capacity. The strongest application is therefore selective: performance indicators should support learning rather than ritual compliance; managerial autonomy should



Table 1: Illustrative NPM–Administrative Modernisation Pathway

<i>Reform dimension</i>	<i>Administrative mechanism</i>	<i>Intended service effect</i>	<i>Key implementation risk</i>
Managerial accountability	Defined responsibilities and targets	Faster and clearer decision-making	Target fixation
Process redesign	Workflow simplification	Reduced delays and duplication	Over-standardisation
Workforce alignment	Competency-based deployment	Improved organisational productivity	Skills mismatch
Performance measurement	Indicators and continuous monitoring	Evidence-based correction	Reporting overload
Digital integration	Connected administrative systems	More seamless citizen services	Fragmented technology services

operate within credible oversight; process improvement should simplify citizen journeys; and technology should integrate rather than merely automate fragmented procedures.

Illustratively, an administrative-modernisation pathway can be represented through five connected elements: managerial accountability establishes responsibility for results; process redesign removes avoidable delays; workforce alignment matches competencies to service demands; performance measurement generates evidence for correction; and digital integration connects services across organisational boundaries. Together, these elements reposition NPM as a practical foundation for disciplined modernisation while allowing contemporary governance systems to incorporate collaboration, resilience and citizen-centred service design.

Governance, Institutions, and State Capacity

Governance quality and state capacity are foundational to effective public-sector reform because institutions determine whether policy commitments can be converted into durable administrative action. State capacity extends beyond the existence of ministries and formal rules; it encompasses the ability to mobilise resources, implement budgets, enforce standards and sustain competent organisations. Cross-national evidence from developing countries shows that administrative capacity is strengthened where political institutions impose credible checks and balances, thereby improving the state's ability to design, execute and monitor public financial systems (Ricciuti, Savoia & Sen, 2019).

Institutional effectiveness also depends on the quality and organisation of the public workforce. Comparative research demonstrates that meritocratic recruitment and predictable professional careers are associated with stronger bureaucratic performance, underscoring the importance of professionalisation rather than patronage-based staffing in capable states (Evans & Rauch, 1999). Within organisations, capability building similarly requires investment in competencies, adaptive learning and talent development across complex operations (Falemi, Akhigbe & Akin-Oluyomi, 2020).

The Nigerian context illustrates how professional attributes can influence institutional performance. Evidence from universities in Oyo State indicates that communication skills, interpersonal competence, punctuality and professional conduct contribute to organisational success, reinforcing the principle that institutional capacity is enacted through personnel competence (Onche, Adenekan & Sabboh, 2021). Sustaining this capacity also requires attention to workforce continuity. Integrating employee-retention systems with productivity metrics can reduce fragmented personnel decisions and link human-resource stability with organisational performance (Falemi, Akhigbe & Akin-Oluyomi, 2023).

Governance capacity nevertheless requires more than technically competent employees. Institutions must embed values of accountability, transparency, responsiveness and lawful administration within decision-making. South African evidence demonstrates that gaps between constitutional principles of public administration and their practical implementation can weaken service delivery, particularly when accountability and responsiveness are inconsistently institutionalised (Mamokhere, Musitha & Netshidzivhani, 2022).

Digital-Era and Collaborative Governance Models

Digital-era governance represents a shift from fragmented, transaction-focused administration toward integrated public systems in which data, technology and institutional collaboration shape how governments design, coordinate and deliver services. Its central premise is that digital infrastructure should not merely automate existing procedures but enable more connected, transparent and responsive forms of governance. In Ghana, e-government has been associated with improvements in efficiency, service accessibility and citizen satisfaction, while infrastructure and literacy constraints continue to condition these gains (Osei-Kojo, 2017).

The effectiveness of digital governance also depends on secure and interoperable information environments. Blockchain-assisted architectures can strengthen data integrity, auditability and trust across distributed systems,

offering principles applicable to public institutions that exchange sensitive information across agencies and jurisdictions (Shittu et al., 2022). Similarly, attribute-based encryption demonstrates how differentiated access controls can protect shared digital resources while allowing authorised actors to collaborate within complex information networks (Shittu & Nabil, 2023).

Digital-era governance also alters the operational architecture of service organisations. RFID-enabled information systems illustrate how automation can reduce manual processes, improve traceability and support faster retrieval of institutional information, capabilities that are relevant to citizen-facing public services (Yusuf et al., 2023). More advanced automation platforms, including SCADA-based systems, further demonstrate the value of real-time monitoring, predictive analytics and integrated control for improving operational responsiveness and reducing information delays (Omoegun et al., 2024).

Yet technological integration alone does not create collaborative governance. Collaboration requires institutional arrangements through which public agencies, private actors and civil society participate in joint problem-solving, share information and develop decisions through structured interaction. Collaborative governance research identifies trust, facilitative leadership, institutional design and sustained dialogue as critical conditions for productive collective action (Ansell & Gash, 2008). These principles are especially important in developing economies, where public problems frequently cut across ministerial mandates and where resource limitations increase the value of coordinated action.

SERVICE DELIVERY INNOVATION

Digital Transformation and Integrated Public Services

Digital transformation is reshaping public service delivery from isolated electronic transactions into interconnected service ecosystems that combine data, platforms, analytics and organisational redesign. For developing economies, the strategic objective is not simply to digitise forms or create online portals, but to reduce fragmentation across agencies and make services easier to access regardless of administrative boundaries. Digital-government evolution therefore progresses from basic digitisation toward transformation, engagement and contextualisation, with mature systems adapting technologies to institutional and societal conditions rather than treating technology as an end in itself (Janowski, 2015).

Integrated services require governments to redesign administrative processes around users. Information and communication technologies can simplify bureaucratic procedures, but their benefits depend on organisational arrangements that preserve accountability while removing unnecessary procedural complexity (Cordella & Tempini,

2015). This is particularly important where citizens otherwise navigate multiple agencies, repeat identity verification, submit the same information several times or travel long distances to complete related transactions. Integration should therefore connect front-end access with interoperable back-office processes, shared standards and coordinated decision rights.

The Nigerian context demonstrates that digital access is inseparable from physical infrastructure. Evidence on digitally booked transport beyond state capitals shows that mobile-network coverage and road conditions jointly determine whether digital services can be used in practice, exposing the danger of assuming that connectivity alone guarantees inclusion (Alaka, Ajayi & Bolaji, 2022). Data scarcity creates a second constraint. Combining field surveys with open geospatial data can improve infrastructure decision support, particularly when uncertainty in available datasets is explicitly measured and survey resources are directed toward information with the greatest decision value (Tiamiyu et al., 2023).

Integrated public services also depend on analytical capability. A data-driven framework combining predictive, prescriptive and real-time analytics illustrates how heterogeneous information can be consolidated to anticipate bottlenecks and improve resource allocation (Akin-Oluyomi & Akhigbe, 2023). In public administration, comparable architectures can support demand forecasting, case prioritisation and early identification of service pressures, provided that algorithms remain transparent and subject to institutional oversight.

Real-time digital representations offer further possibilities for infrastructure-intensive public services. Digital-twin modelling can connect physical assets, sensor data, communication systems and analytics to detect emerging faults and strengthen operational visibility (Shittu et al., 2023). AI-enhanced digital twins extend this model by combining predictive maintenance, optimisation and explainable analytics, demonstrating how integrated information environments can shift organisations from reactive intervention toward anticipatory management (Shittu & Shittu, 2024).

Yet transformation must ultimately be judged by public value rather than technological sophistication. E-government research identifies improved services, administrative efficiency, openness, professional conduct, trust and social value as central dimensions through which digital initiatives should be assessed (Twizeyimana & Andersson, 2019). Rwanda's one-stop e-government experience similarly shows that integrated service platforms require systematic monitoring across governmental levels; uneven monitoring can weaken implementation feedback and continuous improvement (Bakunzibake, 2019).

For developing economies, an integrated public-service model therefore requires five mutually reinforcing layers: accessible channels, interoperable data, coordinated



Table 2: Illustrative Framework for Integrated Digital Public Services

<i>Integration layer</i>	<i>Core capability</i>	<i>Public-service application</i>	<i>Citizen-centred outcome</i>
Accessible channels	Web, mobile and assisted access	Multi-channel service entry	Wider and more equitable access
Interoperable data	Shared standards and secure exchange	Cross-agency information reuse	Reduced repetitive submissions
Institutional coordination	Connected workflows and responsibilities	Joined-up government services	Fewer administrative hand-offs
Analytical intelligence	Predictive and real-time analytics	Demand forecasting and prioritisation	Faster and more proactive responses
Citizen feedback	Monitoring and user-response systems	Service evaluation and redesign	Continuous responsiveness and trust

institutions, analytical intelligence and continuous citizen feedback. The illustrative framework below emphasises that integration is achieved when these layers function together. Digital channels without connectivity exclude users; data integration without governance creates privacy and accountability risks; analytics without reliable data can amplify error; and automation without organisational redesign may merely accelerate fragmented procedures. Citizen-centred transformation consequently requires governments to combine technological investment with institutional interoperability, workforce capability, infrastructure inclusion, cybersecurity safeguards and measurable service outcomes.

Such integration should also preserve non-digital alternatives for excluded populations, establish data stewardship responsibilities, and measure whether reforms reduce transaction costs, waiting times and geographic inequalities. This makes digital transformation a governance project centred on equitable service access.

Co-Production and Citizen-Centred Service Design

ICo-production and citizen-centred service design reposition citizens from passive recipients of public services to active participants in defining needs, shaping delivery and evaluating outcomes. This shift is significant in developing economies, where institutional constraints and resource scarcity can make centrally designed services poorly aligned with everyday realities. Co-production therefore extends beyond consultation by involving users and communities directly in activities that influence the quality, accessibility and sustainability of public provision (Bovaird, 2007).

A citizen-centred approach requires governments to understand differences in preferences, affordability and access. Nigerian evidence on shared and digitally booked transport shows that low-income commuters respond differently to fare changes and service attributes, demonstrating why public-service design should incorporate user preferences rather than assume uniform demand

(Ajayi, Alaka & Tihamiyu, 2023). Such evidence matters across transport, health, welfare and digital services, where efficient designs may exclude groups whose constraints are overlooked during planning.

Effective service design also depends on frontline behaviour and operational intelligence. A model integrating workforce behaviour with real-time data demonstrates how organisations can identify service bottlenecks, anticipate dissatisfaction and adjust resource deployment around user experience (Akhigbe, Falemi & Akin-Oluyomi, 2023). Citizen-centred systems therefore require feedback loops connecting user experience with managerial decision-making rather than treating satisfaction measurement as an end-stage exercise.

Co-production becomes valuable where service problems require multiple forms of expertise. Evidence from emergency departments indicates that multidisciplinary collaboration can strengthen coordination, communication and patient-centred outcomes in service environments (Ohunyon, Ayinde & Ojukwu, 2023). Comparable principles apply to public services crossing organisational boundaries.

African experience further demonstrates that co-production can emerge as a response to constrained state capacity. In Nigeria and Ghana, citizens and health professionals have participated in sustaining secondary healthcare delivery where resource limitations weakened conventional provision (Mangai, de Vries & de Kruijf, 2018). However, participation should complement rather than legitimise state withdrawal from core obligations.

Systematic evidence shows that co-creation outcomes depend on organisational openness, citizen willingness, supportive institutional arrangements and clarity concerning participant roles (Voorberg, Bekkers & Tummers, 2015). Citizen-centred reform therefore requires participation mechanisms, accessible feedback, transparent responsibility, safeguards against elite capture and representation of marginalised users. Co-production effectively enables governments to design services with citizens rather than merely for them, while preserving professional standards, equity and public accountability.

BARRIERS TO SUSTAINABLE REFORM IMPLEMENTATION

Sustainable public-sector reform is difficult because implementation unfolds within institutional environments marked by political contestation, uneven capacity, fiscal pressure, infrastructure deficits and organisational routines that may resist change. Reform programmes may be technically coherent yet fail when governments lack the administrative capability, incentives and resources required to translate formal policy into durable practice. Capacity-building research therefore cautions against assuming that training or structural redesign alone can improve performance; effective reform depends on the wider institutional environment in which organisations, personnel and incentives interact (Grindle & Hilderbrand, 1995).

A central barrier is the mismatch between reform design and local implementation conditions. Models imported from high-capacity systems may presume reliable information, professional bureaucracies, stable financing and enforceable accountability that are not consistently present in developing economies. Comparative analysis of public-sector reform warns that contractual and market-oriented approaches can perform poorly where state capacity and social capital are weak, because institutional arrangements cannot be separated from the social relationships that sustain compliance and collective action (Wallis & Dollery, 2001). Political conditions add further complexity. Evidence from Uganda's Budget Strengthening Initiative shows that technically sound fiscal reforms can be constrained by regime incentives, declining institutional autonomy and trade-offs between adapting to local political realities and achieving pro-poor outcomes (Pinnington, 2024).

Infrastructure deficits create another implementation constraint because reforms often assume service networks that function uniformly across territory. Nigerian road-safety evidence demonstrates that crash risks can cluster spatially along intercity corridors, exposing uneven infrastructure and service environments that complicate standardised national interventions (Alaka, Tiamiyu & Bolaji, 2021). Emergency-response analysis similarly identifies geographic coverage gaps on highways, indicating that institutional reform can remain nominal where agencies lack the physical reach required to deliver services equitably (Tiamiyu, Alaka & Ajayi, 2021). Climate and disaster exposure further destabilise implementation: mapping of flood-exposed road segments during Nigeria's 2022 flood season shows how environmental shocks can interrupt transport connectivity and weaken continuity in public-service access (Alaka, Bolaji & Tiamiyu, 2022).

Organisational sustainability is also shaped by workforce volatility. Rapid expansion can produce mismatches between staffing levels, competencies and operational demand, creating service bottlenecks even when reform objectives are clear. Predictive workforce planning, flexible deployment and skills diversification can reduce these

vulnerabilities by aligning labour capacity with changing operational requirements (Falemi, Akhigbe & Akin-Oluyomi, 2023). This lesson is relevant to public institutions implementing decentralisation, digital services or expanded social programmes, because reform frequently increases workload before new capabilities become fully institutionalised.

Technology adoption presents a related human-capital challenge. Digital reform often fails when organisations invest in systems without preparing employees to use them confidently, adapt workflows or accept altered responsibilities. A technology-adoption framework centred on employee readiness demonstrates that skills, adaptability and psychological acceptance are necessary complements to technological investment (Akhigbe, Falemi & Akin-Oluyomi, 2024). Public organisations therefore require change management, continuous learning and credible communication rather than treating digital platforms as self-executing solutions.

Risk fragmentation can further undermine sustainability when human-resource, operational, financial, and technological risks are managed in separate administrative silos. Integrating workforce strategy with operational analytics can improve anticipatory decision-making by identifying turnover, competency gaps and performance vulnerabilities before they become implementation failures (Falemi, Akhigbe & Akin-Oluyomi, 2024). For developing economies, sustainable reform consequently depends on aligning policy ambition with institutional capacity, political feasibility, territorial realities and organisational resilience. Governments must sequence reforms realistically, protect implementation capability during transitions, build adaptive feedback mechanisms and preserve essential services while new systems mature. Reform sustainability is therefore less a function of announcing ambitious programmes than of developing institutions capable of learning, absorbing shocks, retaining competent personnel and adjusting implementation without abandoning accountability or citizen-centred objectives.

Pathways to Citizen-Centred Governance

Citizen-centred governance requires public institutions to move beyond procedural compliance and organise reform around how citizens actually experience the state. In developing economies, this means aligning administrative capability, infrastructure investment, digital systems and participatory mechanisms with concrete service needs, where geographic inequality and institutional fragmentation shape access. A pathway begins with evidence-based prioritisation. Nigerian research on rural-road rehabilitation shows that combining surface condition, population, market access, health access and flood exposure can improve the transparency of infrastructure choices while also revealing the importance of testing the reliability of underlying indicators (Alaka et al., 2023). Such approaches help governments direct scarce resources toward interventions with wider social value



rather than relying on politically convenient or technically narrow criteria.

Citizen-centred governance also depends on performance systems that convert dispersed operational information into actionable managerial intelligence. Data-driven performance indicators can support cross-site visibility, benchmarking, real-time decision-making and continuous improvement when they are integrated across organisational units rather than maintained in isolated reporting systems (Akin-Oluoyomi & Akhigbe, 2023). In public administration, these capabilities strengthen accountability by linking resource use, service standards and outcomes to observable performance measures. However, metrics should remain subordinate to public value: indicators must capture accessibility, timeliness, equity and user experience, not merely internal efficiency. Infrastructure policy provides another pathway. Integrated planning that connects energy efficiency, transport logistics and resource optimisation demonstrates how separate policy domains can be coordinated around system-level performance and sustainability (Opara et al., 2024). For developing economies, this logic supports joined-up governance in which transport, energy, digital connectivity and local development are treated as mutually dependent determinants of service access. Institutional resilience must accompany such integration. Cyber-physical systems illustrate the value of adaptive monitoring, distributed intelligence and rapid response to emerging threats, reinforcing the broader governance principle that essential public systems should be designed to withstand disruption while maintaining continuity (Shittu, Olagunju & Shittu, 2024).

A citizen-centred pathway must also redefine how public value is assessed. Evidence on digital service delivery shows that citizens perceive value through combinations of convenience, cost savings, administrative capability, fairness and wider societal benefits, indicating that successful reform should be evaluated from the citizen perspective rather than through organisational outputs (Luna et al., 2024). Service design is central to this shift. Research on e-government demonstrates that accuracy, completeness, accessibility, privacy, security, user support, personalisation and transparency jointly shape perceived service quality and citizen satisfaction (Chan et al., 2021). These dimensions provide a practical design standard for governments seeking to make administrative services easier to navigate without weakening safeguards.

Digital reform must nevertheless remain inclusive. South African evidence shows that inadequate infrastructure, unreliable electricity, limited digital literacy and weak executive ownership constrain the transformation of public services even when digital technologies are available (Shibambu, 2024). Citizen-centred strategies should therefore preserve assisted and offline channels, invest in digital capability, and prevent technology from converting existing social inequalities into new administrative exclusions.

Participation provides another pathway because citizens possess information about service failures, local priorities and

implementation barriers that formal administrative systems may not capture. Evidence from India shows that citizen participation can strengthen accountability through multiple institutional routes linking users, political representatives, service providers and civil-society organisations (Blair, 2018). Participation becomes more valuable when embedded throughout the service cycle rather than confined to consultation after decisions have been taken.

Smart-governance approaches extend this principle by combining intelligent technologies with co-creation. A public-service innovation model developed around citizen participation demonstrates how digital tools can support opinion gathering, agenda formation, policy design, implementation and evaluation while creating opportunities for more customised services (Hong & Lee, 2023). For developing economies, the most credible pathway to citizen-centred governance therefore combines evidence-based prioritisation, integrated planning, resilient infrastructure, transparent performance management, inclusive digital design and structured participation. These mechanisms should be institutionalised through clear responsibilities, stable financing, professional capability and feedback loops so that citizen orientation becomes an enduring administrative practice rather than a temporary reform slogan. Governments that embed these principles are better positioned to convert reform from organisational change into sustained improvements in accessibility, responsiveness, trust and public value.

CONCLUSION

This review has demonstrated that meaningful public sector reform in developing economies depends on more than institutional restructuring or the adoption of isolated managerial techniques. Its objectives were met by examining the principal reform frameworks, the evolution of public expectations, the institutional constraints affecting implementation, and the pathways through which governance systems can become more responsive, inclusive and citizen-centred. The analysis shows that reform effectiveness is fundamentally shaped by the interaction between administrative capacity, political institutions, workforce capability, digital infrastructure, organisational resilience and mechanisms for citizen participation.

A central finding is that traditional efficiency-oriented reforms remain relevant, but their limitations become evident when performance targets, decentralisation and managerial autonomy are introduced without sufficient institutional capability or accountability. Digital transformation offers substantial opportunities to improve accessibility, integration and responsiveness, yet technology alone cannot resolve fragmented governance, weak infrastructure or exclusion. Similarly, co-production and collaborative governance can strengthen public value by incorporating citizen knowledge and service-user experience, but these mechanisms require transparent participation, institutional openness and safeguards against unequal representation.

The review further establishes that sustainable reform is most likely where governments align policy ambition with realistic implementation capacity, invest in professional and adaptable public workforces, strengthen data-driven decision-making and build resilient administrative systems capable of responding to disruption. Citizen-centred governance should therefore be treated as an institutional design principle rather than a rhetorical commitment.

It is recommended that developing economies prioritise context-sensitive reform sequencing, strengthen inter-agency coordination, improve digital and physical infrastructure, institutionalise performance and feedback systems, and expand meaningful citizen participation throughout the service-delivery cycle. Governments should also preserve inclusive non-digital access channels, strengthen accountability arrangements, and continuously evaluate whether reforms reduce inequalities in access, responsiveness and service quality. These measures can help transform reform initiatives into durable improvements in public trust, institutional legitimacy and developmental effectiveness.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ajayi, O., Alaka, O.B. and Tiamiyu, R. (2020) 'Essential trip making and mode substitution during COVID-19 movement restrictions in Nigerian cities', *IRE Journals*, 4(4), pp. 442–465. DOI: 10.64388/IREV4I4-1722673.
- [2] Ajayi, O., Alaka, O.B. and Tiamiyu, R. (2023) 'Willingness to pay for shared and digitally booked transport among low-income commuters after fuel price increases', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(6), pp. 3059–3070. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.6.6813.
- [3] Akanbi, O., Ganiu, O.S. and Sunday, E.A. (2022) 'A workforce-centric line-balancing model for throughput maximization in multi-shift operations', *Shodhshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal*, 5(3), pp. 439–464. DOI: 10.32628/SHISRRJ.
- [4] Akanbi, O., Ganiu, O.S. and Sunday, E.A. (2022) 'Comprehensive review of statistical process control (SPC) for precision weight management in global food manufacturing', *Gyanshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal*, 5(3), pp. 433–461.
- [5] Akhigbe, R., Falemi, A. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2023) 'A conceptual model for improving customer experience using workforce behavior and real-time operational data', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 4(6), pp. 1322–1338. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2023.4.6.1322-1338.
- [6] Akhigbe, R., Falemi, A. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2024) 'An advanced framework for technology adoption that supports employee readiness in modern organizations', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 4(1), pp. 1637–1659. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.1.5344.
- [7] Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. and Akhigbe, R. (2022) 'A supply chain governance model for enhancing compliance and operational quality across retail networks', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 3(6), pp. 860–878. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2022.3.6.860-878.
- [8] Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. and Akhigbe, R. (2023) 'A proposed supply chain analytics framework for improving forecasting accuracy and reducing bottlenecks', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(1), pp. 1686–1703. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.1.5338.
- [9] Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. and Akhigbe, R. (2023) 'An advanced framework for improving multi-site operational efficiency using data-driven performance indicators', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(1), pp. 1763–1781. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.1.5343.
- [10] Alaka, O.B., Ajayi, O. and Bolaji, O. (2020) 'Geospatial assessment of access to health facilities over unpaved rural road networks', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 1(5), pp. 1199–1214. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2020.1.5.1199-1214.
- [11] Alaka, O.B., Ajayi, O. and Bolaji, O. (2022) 'Mobile network coverage and road surface condition as joint constraints on digitally booked transport beyond state capitals', *International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology*, 8(5), pp. 142–168. DOI: 10.56201/ijemt.v8.no5.2022.pg142.168.
- [12] Alaka, O.B., Bolaji, O. and Tiamiyu, R. (2022) 'Mapping flood-exposed road segments from freely available satellite imagery during the 2022 flood season', *IIARD International Journal of Geography & Environmental Management*, 8(2), pp. 117–143. DOI: 10.56201/ijgem.v8.no2.2022.pg117.143.
- [13] Alaka, O.B., Tiamiyu, R. and Bolaji, O. (2021) 'Spatial clustering of road traffic crash locations on intercity corridors using national road safety records', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 2(6), pp. 1086–1101. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2021.2.6.1086-1101.
- [14] Alaka, O.B., Tiamiyu, R., Ajayi, O. and Bolaji, O. (2023) 'Multi-criteria prioritization of rural road rehabilitation using surface condition, population, and market-access indicators', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(6), pp. 3047–3058. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.6.6812.
- [15] Andrews, M., Pritchett, L. and Woolcock, M. (2013) 'Escaping capability traps through problem-driven iterative adaptation (PDIA)', *World Development*, 51, pp. 234–244. DOI: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.05.011.
- [16] Ansell, C. and Gash, A. (2008) 'Collaborative governance in theory and practice', *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), pp. 543–571. DOI: 10.1093/jopart/mum032.
- [17] Bakunzibake, P. (2019) 'E-government implementation and monitoring: The case of Rwanda's "one-stop" e-government', *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 85(5), e12086. DOI: 10.1002/isd2.12086.
- [18] Bawole, J.N. and Langnel, Z. (2023) 'Administrative reforms in the Ghanaian public services for government business continuity during the COVID-19 crisis', *Public Organization Review*, 23(1), pp. 181–196. DOI: 10.1007/s11115-022-00687-w.
- [19] Bhul, B. (2023) 'New Public Management reform: Implementation experiences of developing countries and Nepal', *Prashasan: The Nepalese Journal of Public Administration*, 55(1). DOI: 10.3126/prashasan.v55i1.56440.
- [20] Blair, H. (2018) 'Citizen participation and political accountability for public service delivery in India: Remapping the World Bank's routes', *Journal of South Asian Development*, 13(1), pp. 54–81. DOI: 10.1177/0973174118760942.
- [21] Bovaird, T. (2007) 'Beyond engagement and participation: User and community coproduction of public services', *Public Administration Review*, 67(5), pp. 846–860. DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-



- 6210.2007.00773.x.
- [22] Brinkerhoff, D.W. and Brinkerhoff, J.M. (2015) 'Public sector management reform in developing countries: Perspectives beyond NPM orthodoxy', *Public Administration and Development*, 35(4), pp. 222–237. DOI: 10.1002/pad.1739.
- [23] Bryson, J.M., Crosby, B.C. and Bloomberg, L. (2014) 'Public value governance: Moving beyond traditional public administration and the New Public Management', *Public Administration Review*, 74(4), pp. 445–456. DOI: 10.1111/puar.12238.
- [24] Chan, F.K.Y., Thong, J.Y.L., Brown, S.A. and Venkatesh, V. (2021) 'Service design and citizen satisfaction with e-government services: A multidimensional perspective', *Public Administration Review*, 81(5), pp. 874–894. DOI: 10.1111/puar.13308.
- [25] Cordella, A. and Tempini, N. (2015) 'E-government and organizational change: Reappraising the role of ICT and bureaucracy in public service delivery', *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(3), pp. 279–286. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2015.03.005.
- [26] Dunleavy, P., Margetts, H., Bastow, S. and Tinkler, J. (2006) 'New Public Management is dead—Long live digital-era governance', *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(3), pp. 467–494. DOI: 10.1093/jopart/mui057.
- [27] Enaifoghe, A., Dlamini, N.P. and Agwuna, L.U. (2020) 'African economic integration development in technological transformation: Assessing the importance of ethical leadership for policy implementation in SADC', *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 12(6), pp. 11–20. DOI: 10.22610/jebbs.v12i6(J).3102.
- [28] Evans, P. and Rauch, J.E. (1999) 'Bureaucracy and growth: A cross-national analysis of the effects of "Weberian" state structures on economic growth', *American Sociological Review*, 64(5), pp. 748–765. DOI: 10.1177/000312249906400508.
- [29] Fadero, S., Oyesiji, S.O., Nwakamma, S. and Jooda, D. (2018) 'IT governance frameworks (COBIT/ITIL) and their impact on regulatory compliance in Nigerian insurance firms', *IRE Journals*, 2(5), pp. 478–496. DOI: 10.64388/IREV215-1722619.
- [30] Falemi, A., Akhigbe, R. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2020) 'A conceptual supply chain talent development model for capability building across distributed operations', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 1(5), pp. 439–456. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2020.1.5.439-456.
- [31] Falemi, A., Akhigbe, R. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2023) 'A proposed framework for integrating employee retention systems with operational productivity metrics', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(1), pp. 1704–1724. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.1.5339.
- [32] Falemi, A., Akhigbe, R. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2023) 'A supply chain workforce optimization framework for addressing staffing volatility during rapid expansion cycles', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(1), pp. 1745–1762. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.1.5342.
- [33] Falemi, A., Akhigbe, R. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2024) 'A conceptual enterprise risk management model that integrates HR strategy with operational analytics', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 5(6), pp. 1730–1753. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2024.5.6.1730-1753.
- [34] Fukuyama, F. (2013) 'What is governance?', *Governance*, 26(3), pp. 347–368. DOI: 10.1111/gove.12035.
- [35] Grindle, M.S. (2004) 'Good enough governance: Poverty reduction and reform in developing countries', *Governance*, 17(4), pp. 525–548. DOI: 10.1111/j.0952-1895.2004.00256.x.
- [36] Grindle, M.S. and Hilderbrand, M.E. (1995) 'Building sustainable capacity in the public sector: What can be done?', *Public Administration and Development*, 15(5), pp. 441–463. DOI: 10.1002/pad.4230150502.
- [37] Gruening, G. (2001) 'Origin and theoretical basis of New Public Management', *International Public Management Journal*, 4(1), pp. 1–25. DOI: 10.1016/S1096-7494(01)00041-1.
- [38] Hickey, S. and Sen, K. (2024) 'The politics of governance and state capacity', in Sen, K. and Hickey, S. (eds.) *Pathways to Development: From Politics to Power*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 92–114. DOI: 10.1093/oso/9780198872566.003.0006.
- [39] Hong, S.G. and Lee, D. (2023) 'Development of a citizen participation public service innovation model based on smart governance', *Service Business*, 17(3), pp. 669–694. DOI: 10.1007/s11628-023-00536-w.
- [40] Hood, C. (1991) 'A public management for all seasons?', *Public Administration*, 69(1), pp. 3–19. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9299.1991.tb00779.x.
- [41] Ikeanyibe, O.M. (2016) 'New Public Management and administrative reforms in Nigeria', *International Journal of Public Administration*, 39(7), pp. 563–576. DOI: 10.1080/01900692.2015.1023446.
- [42] Janowski, T. (2015) 'Digital government evolution: From transformation to contextualization', *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(3), pp. 221–236. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.001.
- [43] Knox, C. and Sharipova, D. (2023) 'Public sector reforms in developing countries: A preliminary review', *Public Administration and Development*, 43(5), pp. 368–380. DOI: 10.1002/pad.2030.
- [44] Linders, D. (2012) 'From e-government to we-government: Defining a typology for citizen coproduction in the age of social media', *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(4), pp. 446–454. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2012.06.003.
- [45] Luna, D.E., Picazo-Vela, S., Buyannemekh, B. and Luna-Reyes, L.F. (2024) 'Creating public value through digital service delivery from a citizen's perspective', *Government Information Quarterly*, 41(2), 101928. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2024.101928.
- [46] Mamokhere, J., Musitha, M.E. and Netshidzivhani, V.M. (2022) 'The implementation of the basic values and principles governing public administration and service delivery in South Africa', *Journal of Public Affairs*, 22(4), e2627. DOI: 10.1002/pa.2627.
- [47] Mangai, M.S., de Vries, M.S. and de Kruijff, J.A.M. (2018) 'Case study—Co-production of secondary health services in Nigeria and Ghana', in Brandsen, T., Steen, T. and Verschuere, B. (eds.) *Co-Production and Co-Creation: Engaging Citizens in Public Services*. New York: Routledge, pp. 164–166. DOI: 10.4324/9781315204956-24.
- [48] Matoane, J.M. and Mukonza, R.M. (2024) 'An analysis of the influence of good governance on service delivery in Limpopo Province', *Journal of Public Administration*, 59(1). DOI: 10.53973/jopa.2024.59.1.a7.
- [49] Mergel, I., Edelmann, N. and Haug, N. (2019) 'Defining digital transformation: Results from expert interviews', *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), 101385. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2019.06.002.
- [50] Molobela, T. and Uwizeyimana, D.E. (2023) 'New public management and post-new public management paradigms: Deconstruction and reconfiguration of the South African public administration', *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 12(8), pp. 327–334. DOI: 10.20525/ijrbs.v12i8.2941.

- [51] Munzhedzi, P.H. (2021) 'An evaluation of the application of the New Public Management principles in the South African municipalities', *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21(1), e2132. DOI: 10.1002/pa.2132.
- [52] Ohunyon, G., Ayinde, M. and Ojukwu, P.U. (2023) 'The impact of multidisciplinary collaboration on patient outcomes in emergency departments', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(6), pp. 2727–2741. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.6.6029.
- [53] Olutimehin, A.O. and Ganiu, O.S. (2022) 'Integrating Lean Six Sigma with reliability-centered maintenance: A unified framework for manufacturing excellence', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 3(6), pp. 983–996. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2022.3.6.983-996.
- [54] Omoegun, G.O., Sunday, E.A., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2024) 'Implementing smart automation solutions in medium-scale industries using SCADA systems', *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 10(5), pp. 1207–1231. DOI: 10.32628/CSEIT241061242.
- [55] Onche, V.O., Adenekan, E.T. and Sabboh, G.M. (2021) 'Influence of personal and professional attributes of secretaries on organisational success of universities in Oyo State, Nigeria', *International Journal of Science Academic Research*, 2(4), pp. 1355–1359.
- [56] Opara, I.S., Elumilade, R.A., Liadi, K.O., Shittu, H. and Adeniji, I.O. (2024) 'A theoretical review of synergizing energy efficiency with transportation logistics optimization: Towards a sustainable U.S. infrastructure', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 4(6), pp. 2968–2975. DOI: 10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.6.5738.
- [57] Osborne, S.P., Radnor, Z. and Nasi, G. (2013) 'A new theory for public service management? Toward a (public) service-dominant approach', *The American Review of Public Administration*, 43(2), pp. 135–158. DOI: 10.1177/0275074012466935.
- [58] Osei-Kojo, A. (2017) 'E-government and public service quality in Ghana', *Journal of Public Affairs*, 17(3), e1620. DOI: 10.1002/pa.1620.
- [59] Persson, A., Rothstein, B. and Teorell, J. (2013) 'Why anticorruption reforms fail—Systemic corruption as a collective action problem', *Governance*, 26(3), pp. 449–471. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-0491.2012.01604.x.
- [60] Pinnington, R. (2024) 'To go with or against the grain? Politics as practice in the Budget Strengthening Initiative, Uganda', *Global Policy*, 15(S4), pp. 71–83. DOI: 10.1111/1758-5899.13259.
- [61] Ricciuti, R., Savoia, A. and Sen, K. (2019) 'What determines administrative capacity in developing countries?', *International Tax and Public Finance*, 26(5), pp. 972–998. DOI: 10.1007/s10797-019-09535-y.
- [62] Samaratunge, R. and Wijewardena, N. (2009) 'The changing nature of public values in developing countries', *International Journal of Public Administration*, 32(3–4), pp. 313–327. DOI: 10.1080/01900690902732947.
- [63] Serhsen, Rodda, N., Stenström, T.A., Schmidt, S., Dent, M., Bux, F., Hanke, N., Buckley, C.A. and Fennemore, C. (2016) 'Water security in South Africa: Perceptions on public expectations and municipal obligations, governance and water re-use', *Water SA*, 42(3), pp. 456–464. DOI: 10.4314/wsa.v42i3.11.
- [64] Shibambu, A. (2024) 'Transformation of digital government services in the public sector in South Africa', *Africa's Public Service Delivery & Performance Review*, 12(1), a753. DOI: 10.4102/apsdpr.v12i1.753.
- [65] Shittu, H. and Nabil, M. (2023) 'Smart supply chain management with attribute-based encryption access control', 2023 IEEE 13th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), pp. 0896–0902. DOI: 10.1109/CCWC57344.2023.10099268.
- [66] Shittu, H. and Shittu, M.A. (2024) 'AI-powered digital twins for predictive maintenance and operational optimization of renewable energy systems', *International Journal of Science, Architecture, Technology and Environment*, 1(7), pp. 151–163. DOI: 10.63680/ijstate0324085.011.
- [67] Shittu, H., Olagunju, F. and Shittu, M.A. (2024) 'Cyber physical resilience in digital substations: IoT-enabled adaptive protection for secure DER integration', *International Journal of Science, Architecture, Technology and Environment*, 1(3). DOI: 10.63680/ijstate032532.08.
- [68] Shittu, M.A., Adeniji, I.O., Shittu, H. and Opara, I.S. (2022) 'Blockchain-assisted secure data exchange architectures for SCADA-controlled power systems', *IRE Journals*, 6(3), pp. 359–380. DOI: 10.64388/IREV6I3-1714041.
- [69] Shittu, M.A., Shittu, H., Adeleke, O.J. and Adedokun, O.J. (2023) 'Digital twin modeling for real-time monitoring and fault detection in smart substations', *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Research and Development*, 14(2), pp. 25–44. DOI: 10.34218/IJIERD_14_02_003.
- [70] Tiamiyu, R., Alaka, O.B. and Ajayi, O. (2021) 'Emergency response coverage gaps on intercity highways in Kwara State: A network service-area analysis', *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 2(6), pp. 1102–1118. DOI: 10.54660/IJMRGE.2021.2.6.1102-1118.
- [71] Tiamiyu, R., Alaka, O.B., Bolaji, O. and Ajayi, O. (2023) 'Combining field survey and open geospatial data for transport infrastructure decision support in data-scarce regions', *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 3(6), pp. 3071–3084.
- [72] Twizeyimana, J.D. and Andersson, A. (2019) 'The public value of E-Government – A literature review', *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(2), pp. 167–178. DOI: 10.1016/j.giq.2019.01.001.
- [73] Voorberg, W.H., Bekkers, V.J.J.M. and Tummers, L.G. (2015) 'A systematic review of co-creation and co-production: Embarking on the social innovation journey', *Public Management Review*, 17(9), pp. 1333–1357. DOI: 10.1080/14719037.2014.930505.
- [74] Wallis, J. and Dollery, B.E. (2001) 'Government failure, social capital and the appropriateness of the New Zealand model for public sector reform in developing countries', *World Development*, 29(2), pp. 245–263. DOI: 10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00092-9.
- [75] Yusuf, M., Akintunde, A., Habeeb, S. and Quadri, A. (2023) 'Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) based library management system', *International Journal of Technology and Systems*, 8(2), pp. 21–35. DOI: 10.47604/ijts.2041.

