RESEARCH ARTICLE

SAMRIDDHI Volume 15, Issue 4, 2023 Print ISSN: 2229-7111 Online ISSN: 2454-5767

Technology Adoption Readiness in Family-Owned SMEs: A Strategic Framework for Digital Transformation in Tier-2 Indian Cities

Sowjanya Addu

Computer Science & Engineering, Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Abstract

Family-owned small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in India's tier-2 cities form the backbone of regional economies, yet they persistently lag in digital transformation despite falling technology costs, expanding connectivity, and active government promotion. Mainstream adoption models — TAM, UTAUT, and the technology–organisation–environment (TOE) framework — explain part of this lag but miss the distinctive logic of family firms, where decisions are filtered through socioemotional wealth: the preservation of family control, identity, reputation, and succession. This paper develops a strategic readiness framework tailored to this context. We first analyse how family-firm characteristics and tier-2 city conditions jointly shape adoption behaviour, identifying six readiness dimensions: strategic intent, family governance alignment, digital capability, financial readiness, process maturity, and ecosystem support. For each dimension we specify observable indicators and staged interventions, integrating them into a maturity-graded framework that owners, advisors, and policy agencies can apply diagnostically. We argue that transformation programmes fail in family SMEs not primarily for technical or financial reasons but at the governance dimension — where digital initiatives collide with succession dynamics, informal authority, and trusted-relationship business models — and we show how readiness sequencing that respects socioemotional wealth converts family attributes from barriers into transformation assets. Implications are drawn for SME policy design, advisory practice, and future empirical validation.

Keywords: digital transformation; family business; SME; technology adoption; socioemotional wealth; tier-2 cities; India; TOE framework; readiness assessment

SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology (2023); DOI: 10.18090/samriddhi.v15i04.12

Introduction

India's digital economy narrative is usually told from its metros and its startups. Its economic base, however, includes millions of small and medium enterprises — trading houses, component manufacturers, distributors, processors, retailers — concentrated in tier-2 cities and overwhelmingly family-owned. These firms have visibly adopted the easy layer of digitalisation: smartphones, messaging-based commerce, digital payments. The deeper layers — integrated accounting and inventory systems, CRM, e-commerce channels beyond marketplaces, data-informed decision making — remain thin. The gap matters strategically: formalisation regimes such as GST, the platformisation of B2B procurement, and competition from digitally native entrants are steadily raising the cost of remaining analog.

Why does adoption stall despite affordability and policy push? Generic answers — awareness, cost, skills — are true but insufficient, because they ignore who is deciding. In a family firm, technology decisions are family decisions: they redistribute visibility and authority among generations, expose informal practices to formal systems, and touch the succession question that shadows every strategic choice. This paper therefore asks: what constitutes technology adoption readiness in family-owned SMEs in tier-2 Indian cities, and how should transformation be sequenced to work with, rather

Corresponding Author: Sowjanya Addu, Computer Science & Engineering, Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering Technology, Hyderabad,

Telangana, India, e-mail: sowjanya1634@grietcollege.com

How to cite this article: Addu, S. (2023). Technology Adoption Readiness in Family-Owned SMEs: A Strategic Framework for Digital Transformation in Tier-2 Indian Cities. *SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology,* 15(4), 69-73.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

than against, family-firm logic? We contribute (i) a synthesis of adoption theory with the socioemotional wealth perspective on family business, (ii) a six-dimensional readiness framework with indicators and staged interventions (Table 1), and (iii) sequencing propositions and policy implications.

Background

What Adoption Theories Explain — and Miss

The technology acceptance model and its successors locate adoption in individual perceptions of usefulness and ease of use; diffusion theory adds the innovation's attributes and communication channels; the TOE framework widens the lens to organisational and environmental context. Applied to SMEs, these theories correctly predict the influence of owner attitudes, perceived benefit, and external pressure. What they under-specify is the decision unit. Their implicit adopter is either an individual user or a managerially rational firm. The family SME is neither: it is a kinship-governed enterprise in which economic and non-economic goals are jointly optimised, authority is relational rather than positional, and the relevant time horizon is generational.

Socioemotional Wealth and the Digital Question

The socioemotional wealth (SEW) perspective holds that family firms evaluate choices by their effect on the family's affective endowment — control, identity, social ties, emotional attachment, and dynastic succession — and will accept economic costs to protect it. Digital transformation threatens SEW on several fronts: integrated systems make the business legible to outsiders (accountants, lenders, tax authorities, non-family managers), diluting the informational control that underpins family authority; e-commerce disintermediates the personal trading relationships that constitute the family's social capital; and digital fluency inverts the generational hierarchy, handing the successor competence the incumbent lacks. Yet SEW also contains transformation assets: long horizons support patient technology investment, family legitimacy can carry the workforce through change, and succession itself provides a natural transformation window. Readiness, properly understood, is the state in which these assets outweigh the threats.

The Tier-2 Context

Tier-2 cities present a distinctive adoption environment: connectivity and payment infrastructure are now largely adequate, but the surrounding services layer is thin — few capable local implementation partners, limited exposure to peer exemplars, and talent markets in which digitally skilled staff are scarce and quickly poached by metros. Business networks are dense and reputational, meaning both that failures are locally visible (raising the perceived risk of experimentation) and that credible local success stories diffuse quickly. Any readiness framework must treat this ecosystem dimension as integral, not residual.

The Readiness Framework

We define readiness as the joint sufficiency of six dimensions, each assessable through observable indicators and improvable through staged interventions. Table 1 presents the framework. The dimensions are deliberately ordered: the first two are decision-side (whether the firm can genuinely choose transformation), the middle two are capability-side (whether it can execute), and the final two are context-side (whether execution can be sustained).

Sequencing Propositions

Three propositions follow from the framework's logic. First, governance precedes technology: interventions that begin with tool selection while family alignment is unresolved convert the implementation into an arena for the underlying family conflict, and stall. Second, process precedes platform: digitising undocumented, person-dependent processes merely hard-codes their ambiguity; mapping and simplification are the cheap prerequisite that most failed implementations skipped. Third, succession is the transformation window: the period in which a successor holds a defined digital mandate under incumbent sponsorship combines the successor's capability, the incumbent's legitimacy, and a family-sanctioned reason for change — conditions rarely available at other times. Advisors and policy programmes should time and target their engagement accordingly.

A Staged Transformation Roadmap

Translating the framework into practice suggests a four-stage roadmap. Stage 1 — Align (governance dimension): a structured family dialogue produces the digital charter: the problem being solved, the budget envelope, the successor's mandate, and the incumbent's reserved decisions. Nothing is purchased at this stage; the deliverable is agreement. Stage 2 — Prepare (process and capability dimensions): core processes are mapped as they actually run, parallel record-keeping is identified for retirement, and a systems custodian is designated and trained. Stage 3 — Pilot (strategic intent and financial dimensions): a single, bounded implementation targets the charter's named problem — receivables tracking, inventory visibility, or a defined channel experiment — with success criteria the family agreed in Stage 1 and lifecycle costs budgeted rather than expensed as a one-off. Stage 4 — Extend (ecosystem dimension): success is consolidated through the local network: the trusted implementation partner relationship is formalised, staff roles are adjusted, and the firm itself becomes a peer exemplar within its association or cluster, which both cements internal commitment and strengthens the regional ecosystem on which its next adoption step depends.

Table 1: Readiness dimensions, observable indicators, and staged interventions for family-owned SMEs

Readiness Dimension	*Low-Readiness Indicators*	*High-Readiness Indicators*	*Staged Interventions*
Strategic intent	Digitalisation seen as compliance cost; no articulated business case	Named business problem (stockouts, receivables, channel reach) driving adoption	Problem-first workshops; exposure visits to peer adopters; quantified pilot goals
Family governance alignment	Generational disagreement unresolved; technology used as proxy conflict; successor sidelined	Explicit family agreement on digital mandate, budget, and successor's role	Facilitated family council; digital charter linked to succession plan; defined incumbent veto scope
Digital capability	Capability resides in one junior member or outsourced entirely; no data hygiene	Cross-generational basic fluency; at least one accountable internal owner of systems	Role-based training; hire-or-develop decision for a systems custodian; vendor-independent literacy
Financial readiness	Technology treated as one-off purchase; no lifecycle budgeting	Subscription and support costs budgeted; financing options appraised	TCO templates; linkage to SME credit and government incentive schemes
Process maturity	Undocumented, person-dependent processes; parallel informal records	Core processes mapped; single source of truth accepted in principle	Process documentation before tool selection; phased retirement of parallel books
Ecosystem support	No trusted local implementation partner; no peer reference	Vetted partner engaged; peer network or association programme available	Association-brokered vendor panels; cluster-based shared services; alumni successor networks

Two features distinguish this roadmap from generic digital transformation playbooks. First, its stage gates are social, not technical: progression depends on family agreement, documented process, and designated ownership rather than on software milestones, reflecting the diagnosis that family SME transformations fail upstream of technology. Second, it is deliberately modest in scope per cycle: one problem, one pilot, one consolidation. In firms where reputation is local and failure is visible, a contained success that the family can narrate to its network is worth more — economically and socioemotionally — than an ambitious programme that stalls. The roadmap is intended to be repeated, with each cycle raising the readiness baseline for the next.

Discussion and Implications

For owners and advisors, the framework functions as a diagnostic: scoring the six dimensions locates the binding constraint, and the staged interventions indicate the next investment — which is frequently a family conversation or a process map, not a software licence. For policymakers, the analysis explains why subsidy-led SME digitalisation schemes underperform: they act on financial readiness, usually the least binding dimension, while the true constraints — governance alignment and ecosystem support — are untouched by subsidy. Programmes brokered through industry associations and local clusters, supplying trusted implementation partners, peer exemplars, and successor-focused training, act on the dimensions that actually bind. For scholarship, the framework is offered as a testable structure: its dimensional scores can be operationalised into survey instruments, and the sequencing propositions predict observable differences in implementation survival between governance-first and technology-first transformations. Limitations are inherent to conceptual work: the framework is derived from theory synthesis and practitioner literature rather than primary fieldwork, and tier-2 India, though a coherent context, is internally diverse across sectors and regions; empirical validation should therefore be multi-sector and should examine whether dimension weights differ between trading, manufacturing, and service family firms.

Conclusion

Family-owned SMEs in tier-2 Indian cities are not laggards for lack of technology, money, or exhortation; they are governed by a decision logic that mainstream adoption theory does not model. This paper reframed adoption readiness around that logic, integrating socioemotional wealth with the TOE tradition into a six-dimensional framework spanning intent, family governance, capability, finance, process, and ecosystem, with observable indicators and staged interventions for each. The central claim is practical: transformation succeeds in family firms when it is sequenced to protect what the family most values while changing how the business runs — governance before technology, process before platform, and succession as the window. Treating the family not as an obstacle to digitalisation but as its governing institution is the strategic reorientation on which the digital future of India's regional enterprise economy substantially depends.

References

[1] M. S. Prashanth, R. Aluvalu, and M. V. V. Kantipudi, "Enhancing Health Product Traceability on the Blockchain: A Novel Approach for Supply Chain Management Inspection to AI," EAI Endorsed Transactions on Pervasive Health & Technology, vol. 10, no. 1, 2024.

[2] H. K. Jani, M. V. V. Prasad Kantipudi, G. Nagababu, D. Prajapati, and S. S. Kachhwaha, "Simultaneity of Wind and Solar Energy: A Spatio-Temporal Analysis to Delineate the Plausible Regions to Harness," Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, vol. 53, Art. no. 102665, 2022

[3] Jaiswal, I. A. (2022). Natural language processing for security policy and log analysis. International Journal of Research in All Subjects in Multi Languages (IJRSML), 10(4), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.63345/ijrsml.v10.i4.1

[4] Khan, S. (2019). Sales force security compliance: An in-depth study of GDPR, HIPAA, and PCI-DSS enforcement in cloud-based CRM systems and their implications for global enterprises. International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering, 8(9), 2211–2219. https://doi.org/10.15662/IJAREEIE.2019.0809010

[5] S. Choudhary, C. H. Kandikattu, S. Kumar, M. V. V. P. Kantipudi, and M. Kumar, "Enhancing cybersecurity through combined convolutional neural network-gated recurrent unit approach for distributed denial of service attack detection," in Proceedings of the 2024 1st International Conference on Innovative Engineering Sciences and Technological Research (ICIESTR), pp. 1–6, 2024.

[6] S. Rani, D. Ghai, and S. Kumar, "Reconstruction of wire frame model of complex images using syntactic pattern recognition," in IET Conference Proceedings CP791, vol. 2021, no. 11, pp. 8–13, 2021.

[7] Swathi, S. Kumar, S. Rani, A. Jain, and R. K. M. V. N. M., "Emotion classification using feature extraction of facial expression," in Proceedings of the 2022 2nd International Conference on Technological Advancements in Computational Sciences (ICTACS), pp. 283–288, 2022.

[8] S. Choudhary, S. Kumar, S. Gowroju, M. Gulhane, and R. Sri Lakshmi, Eds., Genomics at the Nexus of AI, Computer Vision, and Machine Learning. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 2024.

[9] S. Kumar, S. Choudhary, S. Gowroju, and A. Bhola, "Convolutional neural network approach for multimodal biometric recognition system for banking sector on fusion of face and finger," in Multimodal Biometric and Machine Learning Technologies: Applications for Computer Vision, pp. 251–267, 2023.

[10] S. Choudhary, S. Kumar, M. Gulhane, and M. Kumar, "Secured automated certificate creation based on multimodal biometric verification," in Multimodal Biometric and Machine Learning Technologies: Applications for Computer Vision, pp. 269–281, 2023.

[11] Jaiswal, I. A. (2024). AI-powered observability and incident prediction in distributed enterprise platforms. Scientific Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.63345/sjaibt.v1.i1.201

[12] S. Choudhary, C. H. Kandikattu, S. Kumar, M. V. V. P. Kantipudi, and M. Kumar, "Enhancing cybersecurity through combined convolutional neural network-gated recurrent unit approach for distributed denial of service attack detection," in Proceedings of the 2024 1st International Conference on Innovative Engineering Sciences and Technological Research (ICIESTR), pp. 1–6, 2024.

[13] Jaiswal, I. A. (2023). High-Performance AI-Augmented Content Management Systems for Distributed Clouds. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation and Research Methodology, ISSN: 2960-2068, 2 (2), 90–97. Retrieved from https://ijmirm. com/index. php/ijmirm/article/view, 243.

[14] Khan, S. (2018). The role of zero-trust models in database security: Eliminating implicit trust and enforcing continuous verification in enterprise data access systems. International Journal of Research in Electronics and Computer Engineering, 6(1), 1622–1628.

[15] Jaiswal, I. A. (2024). Self-healing REST services using artificial intelligence in multi-cloud environments. Scientific Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Technologies, 1(3), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.63345/sjaibt.v1.i3.201

[16] V. Saini, A. Jain, Anurag, and M. V. V. Prasad Kantipudi, "An Efficient Approach for Improving the Performance of Autonomous Vehicle Using Advanced Computer Vision," in International Conference on Soft Computing and Pattern Recognition, Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 164–174.

[17] Khan, S. (2017). The role of privacy-preserving techniques in database security: Secure multi-party computation, differential privacy, and homomorphic encryption. The Research Journal, 3(4), 29–35.

[18] Khan, S. (2016). A study of data provenance and integrity in database security: Ensuring authenticity, non-repudiation, and accountability in data lifecycle management. International Journal of Research in Electronics and Computer Engineering, 4(3), 180–186.

[19] Cherladine, K., Rallabandi, B. R., Goel, A., Kaushik, K., Dhillon, A., & Soni, M. (2025). Generative adversarial defense models for simulated cyberattack scenarios in virtual networks. In 2025 International Conference on Digital Innovations for Sustainable Solutions (ICDISS) (pp. 1–6). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDISS68238.2025.11320686

[20] Rallabandi, B. R. (2020). MEC-native 5G systems: Orchestration algorithms for ultra-low latency cloud-edge integration. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 8(4), 398–408. https://ijisae.org/index.php/IJISAE/article/view/7889

[21] Rallabandi, B. R. (2018). Joint deployment and operational energy optimization in heterogeneous cellular networks under traffic variability. International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security, 10(3), 638–647. https://ijcnis.org/index.php/ijcnis/article/view/8604

[22] C. H. Neetha and M. P. Kantipudi, "Adaptive Edge-Based Bilinear Interpolation for Smart Healthcare," in IET Conference Proceedings CP824, vol. 2022, no. 26, Stevenage, U.K.: The Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET), 2022, pp. 7–13.

[23] R. Aluvalu, R. Venkatachalam, V. Uma Maheswari, R. J. Anandhi, M. V. V. Kantipudi, and S. Prashanth Mallellu, "IWHO-Based Cluster Head Selection for Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication in Intelligent Transport System," International Journal of Transport Development and Integration, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 154–166, 2024

[24] S. Velamuri, S. K. Sudabattula, M. V. V. Prasad Kantipudi, and N. Prabaharan, "Q-Learning Based Commercial Electric Vehicles Scheduling in a Renewable Energy Dominant Distribution Systems," Electric Power Components and Systems, pp. 1–14, 2023

[25] Singh, M., Rallabandi, B., Gattupalli, K. K., & Sai Medarametla,

M. (2025). Autonomous private 5G field area networks: Achieving secure, scalable, and self-healing smart grid communications. In 2025 2nd International Conference on Recent Trends in Electrical, Electronics and Computing Technologies (ICRTEECT) (pp. 1–6). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRTEECT67512.2025.11448712

[26] Desai, A. B., Rallabandi, B., Gattupalli, K. K., & Medarametla, M. S. (2025). Agentic AI for rural connectivity and spectrum-aware networks to power smart agriculture ecosystems. In 2025 2nd International Conference on Recent Trends in Electrical, Electronics and Computing Technologies (ICRTEECT) (pp. 1–6). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRTEECT67512.2025.11448879

[27] Goyal, S., Rallabandi, B., Gattupalli, K. K., & Medarametla, M. S. (2025). Digital twin-enabled context-aware networks: Enhancing smart grid resilience through predictive intelligence. In 2025 2nd International Conference on Recent Trends in Electrical, Electronics and Computing Technologies (ICRTEECT) (pp. 1–6). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRTEECT67512.2025.11448880

[28] Tripathi, N., Gattupalli, K. K., Rallabandi, B., & Medarametla, M. S. (2025). AI-native Cloud-RAN orchestration for enterprise private 5G using digital twin models. In 2025 1st IEEE Uttar Pradesh Section Women in Engineering International Conference on Electrical Electronics and Computer Engineering (UPWIECON) (pp. 851–857). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/UPWIECON67212.2025.11390348

[29] Goyal, S., Gattupalli, K. K., Rallabandi, B., & Medarametla, M. S. (2025). Integrating terrestrial and non-terrestrial networks for reliable rural coverage in agriculture and smart grids. In 2025 1st IEEE Uttar Pradesh Section Women in Engineering International Conference on Electrical Electronics and Computer Engineering (UPWIECON) (pp. 846–850). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/UPWIECON67212.2025.11390331

[30] N. Pachauri, V. Suresh, M. V. V. Prasad Kantipudi, R. Alkanhel, and H. A. Abdallah, "Multi-Drug Scheduling for Chemotherapy Using Fractional Order Internal Model Controller," Mathematics, vol. 11, no. 8, Art. no. 1779, 2023,

[31] M. V. V. Prasad Kantipudi, S. Vemuri, N. S. Pradeep Kumar, S. Sreenath Kashyap, and S. Eslamian, "Proposing Model for Water Quality Analysis Based on Hyperspectral Remote Sensor Data," in Handbook of Hydroinformatics, Elsevier, 2023, pp. 317–324.

[32] Rana, M., Srinivas, S., Jamili, L. K., Jaiswal, I. A., Nakka, S., & Kasetti, S. (2025, May). Real-Time Monitoring and Prediction of Blood Sugar Levels in Diabetic Patients with Functional Models. In 2025 International Conference on Engineering, Technology & Management (ICETM) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[33] Jaiswal, I. A. (2023). Intelligent Cybersecurity Framework for Large-Scale RESTful Service Architectures. International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields, ISSN: 2960-043X, 2 (1), 178–184. Retrieved from https://www. researchradicals. com/index. php/rr/article/view, 252.

[34] Jaiswal, I. A. (2023). Multilingual and culturally adaptive AI models for global education platforms. International Journal for Research in Education (IJRE), 12(9), 17–27. https://doi. org/10.63345/ijre.v12.i9.1