Study of Financial Performance of the Cement Industry by using Du-Pont Analysis

Gajraj Singh, Ashok Sengupta²

¹Department of Commerce, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Pachama, Madhya Pradesh, India. ²Research Scholar, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Selore, Madhya Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

This study attempts basically to measure the financial performance of the cement Industry taking ten major companies listed in BSE like ACC Ltd, Ambuja Cement Ltd, Birla Corporation Ltd, Damila Bharat Ltd, JK Cement Ltd, Jk Laxmi Cement Ltd, Prism Cement Ltd, Ramco Cement Ltd, Star Cement Ltd, Ultratech Cement Ltd for the period 2011-2021. In order to achieve our goals in this paper we have measured the ratios of ROE, ROA by applying the DuPont analyses, which have been demonstrated with the aim of tables to show the change periodically. DuPont analysis (ROI and ROE)) is an important tool for judging the operating financial performance. It is an indication of the earning power of the firm.

DuPont Model is based on analysis of return on equity (ROE) and return on investment (ROI). The return on equity disaggregates performance into three components: net profit margin, total asset turnover, and equity multiplier. ROI consists of assets turnover and profit margin. The return on investment consists of assets turnover (Operating Income X Total Assets) and profit margin (EBIT X Operating Income).

In conclusion, ROE & ROI is a firm's most comprehensive measure of profitability. It considers the operating and investing decisions made as well as the financing.

Keywords: Return on Equity, DuPont. Profitability.

Adhyayan: A Journal of Management Sciences (2022); DOI: 10.21567/adhyayan.v12i2.10

INTRODUCTION

Ceveral models are available to describe how Jeffectively any private sector firm is doing. The DuPont model, for instance, was developed in the early 1900s but is still useful for determining profitability. Although it is uncommon, the DuPont model might be used in risk analysis if you wish to speak the language of business (Sheela, 2012)Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ranbaxy for the period 2003-2012. In order to achieve our goals in this paper we have measured the ratios of ROE, ROA applying the DuPont analyses, which have been demonstrated with the aim of tables to show the change periodically. DuPont analysis (ROI and ROE. An investment must respond yes to the question "Will the acquisition of this asset raise the value of the owner's equity?" for the firm's financial management to approve it (Arditti, 1967). The needs of the external lenders of loan and equity capital are at the forefront of a for-profit company's financial goals. Dividends and capital gains based on the market value of the shareholders' shares make up the economic returns to the shareholders. Investors care most about financial metrics like profits, ROA, and ROE since they define what can be paid

Corresponding Author: Gajraj Singh, Department of Commerce, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Pachama, Madhya Pradesh, India, e-mail: gajrajsngh79@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Singh, G., Sengupta, A. (2022). Study of Financial Performance of the Cement Industry by using Du-Pont Analysis. Adhyayan: A Journal of Management Sciences, 12(2):54-58.

Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None

out as dividends in the long -run (Thorpe, 2008). The primary tenet of contemporary finance and investment management is enhancing a company's worth for investors and additional parties involved (Jensen, 2001). Although the value-based management theory is not the focus of this essay, we would like to highlight two key issues. First, the return on invested money must be greater than a predetermined threshold, meeting the interests of all parties involved and taking into account the firm's size, degree of risk, and rate of return on the market. The investments should also be made in a way that will serve the interests of the company's primary stakeholders, whether those advantages are financial or

[©] The Author(s). 2022 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

in other ways. This implies a strong relationship between the company's efficiency and investment attraction.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Rogova (2014) In this paper, she studied the performance of oil companies based in Russia from 2008-12 using DuPont Analysis. The study suggested that managers can benefit from solving ROE problems for proper decision-making. It is established in (Higgins 2011) that 82 significant American firms over a three-year period showed a link between the weighted average ROE and the market capitalisation. Therefore, ROE may also be used to gauge a company's investment appeal. Sengupta (2018) analyzed the cement industry's performance using ratio analysis. Shahnia & Endri (2020) used Dupont analysis to study-trading companies' performance in Indonesia. In order to do this, this study analyzed the ROE ratio and ROA using DuPont analysis. The results are displayed in a table to indicate periodic variations. The Return on Asset (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) analyses form the basis of DuPont's study. Returns on equity broken down the three elements of performance are the equity multiplier, total asset turnover, and net profit margin. This research strongly emphasizes comparing and contrasting seven businesses in the commerce, service, and investment sectors. Laxmi(2019) investigate the financial performance of Automobile companies by using this method. She suggested due to greater asset turnover ratios, which indicate higher operating efficiency and minimal debt, automobile businesses utilizing DuPont analysis have higher returns on equity.

Research Methodology

This research is descriptive in nature for this data has been collected from secondary sources from annual reports of companies. For the study, 10 companies has selected from BSE list; analysis has done for 10 years, which is from 2011-21.

OBJECTIVES OF **R**ESEARCH

- To find out ROE of the selected companies
- To study the impact of profit, asset turnover ratio, and leverage ratio of ROE
- Analyze the performance by year-wise ranking.

HYPOTHESIS

 $\rm H_0$: There no relationship between NP, ATR, LR with ROE $\rm H_a$: There no relationship between NP, ATR, LR with ROE

Above Table 1 is summary table of Return of Equity for 10 years of ten companies. ACC's data shows that ROE has fallen from 2011-12 and it was least in 2018-19 than increases. Ambuja cement had good return in initial years than fallen too much in 2018-19 (5.57) later it has recovered. Birla corporation ROE is varied in 10 years, it increased in 2012-12 (31.22) form 25.25 in year 2011-12 it has shown it least ROE in year 4.45. Dalmia Bharat Cement did al long jump in 2012-13 (67.56) from 4.78 in the previous year. Than its performance is fluctuating over the years. JK Cement recovered it ROE, it was 15.58 in 2011-12, than after variation between years it has 14.66 in 2020-21. Results of JK Laxmi Cement Ltd also fallen in 2011-12, it was 21.48, worst years was 2018-19, with (0.01) and in year 2020-21 (3.82). Prism Cement's ROE was in negative for three successive years 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17, again in 2019-20 (-0.18). Ramco Cement is one of the companies with positive growth in compare to past. It shows double figure result in last three years 17.4 (2018-19), 17.46 (2019-20) and 13.73 (2020-21). Result of ROE of Star Cement Ltd was zero in first two years of analysis, later it improved with growth 8.92 (2017-18), 9.84 (2018-19), 12.74 (2019-20) and 25.14

SUMMARY OF ROE											
YEAR	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	
COMPANIES											
ACC	27	17	18	14	14	14	7	7	10	10	
AMBUJA	18.81	17.23	15.22	14.69	13.51	14.76	7.86	5.57	7.33	9.73	
BIRLA	25.25	31.22	15.6	10.69	11.05	5.14	6.68	5.73	6.67	4.45	
DALMIA	4.78	67.56	4.22	6.3	7.65	8.71	4.31	5.22	4.55	6.08	
JKCEMENT	15.58	20.68	5.48	13.61	15.77	5.03	8.88	3.64	10.38	14.66	
J KLAX MI	21.48	23.62	5.65	9.25	14.31	7.28	7.69	0.1	6.12	3.82	
PRISM	14.05	21.77	8.39	-1.52	-5.43	-8.14	0.24	0.28	-0.18	4.09	
RAMCO	9.43	7.97	4.94	8.45	8.3	2.66	9.37	17.4	17.46	13.73	
STAR	0	0	20.44	9.02	5.7	-3.07	8.92	9.84	12.74	25.14	
ULTRA TECH	27.13	23.73	13.16	19.02	17.43	12.54	10.68	10.95	10.97	8.6	

Table 1: Summary of ROE for 10 years of ten companies

Source: by Author



(2020-21). Ultratech is biggest company of India also have low performance in past decade in 2020-21 (8.6) and 2011-12(27.13).

Table 2, is a descriptive analysis shows mean, Std deviation. The Mean of ROE is 10.93 with Std Deviation is 3.28. The mean profit is 12.74 and the standard deviation is 7.19. The mean of ATR is 59.1, the standard deviation is 24.49 and the mean of leverage ratio is .76 with S.D is .44.

Table 2: Descript	ive Statistic	s mean, st	td devia	tion

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν				
rReturn on equity	10.9380	3.28727	10				
Profit Ratio	12.7434	7.19058	10				
Asset turn over ratio	59.1123	24.49447	10				
Leverage ratio	.7600	.44387	10				
Source: Calculation by using SPSS.							

Table 3, indicated correlation between ROE and NP, ATR, & LR. There is high correlation between ROE with Profit on the other hand there is highly negative correlation between ATR (-.573) and LR(-.605),

Table 4, 5 and 6 are related to result of SPSS for regression analysis. To analyse the impact on ROE, it is considered a dependent variable while NP, ATR, and LR are independent variables at 95% confidence level. In Table 4, value of R is .808, which is the correlation coefficient and R square is the coefficient of determination .653.

Table 5, ANOVA shows a significance value 0.7, which is greater than *p*-value 0.05. Hence, null hypothesis has been rejected.

Table 7, is rank analysis table, for 10 years to understand the years-wise rank of selected companies from 01 to 10.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Return on eauitv	Profit	Assets turn over ratio	Leveraae ratio
	return on equity	1.000	.722	573	605
Pearson correlation	profit	.722	1.000	831	391
	assets turn over ratio	573	831	1.000	.130
	leverage ratio	605	391	.130	1.000
	return on equity		.009	.042	.032
Sig (1 tailed)	profit	.009		.001	.132
Sig. (1-tailed)	assets turn over ratio	.042	.001		.360
	leverage ratio	.032	.132	.360	
	return on equity	10	10	10	10
Ν	profit	10	10	10	10
IN	assets turn over ratio	10	10	10	10
	leverage ratio	10	10	10	10

Table 3: correlatior	n between	ROE and	NP, ATR,	, and LR
----------------------	-----------	---------	----------	----------

Source: Calculation by using SPSS. Source: Calculation by using SPSS.

Table 4: Model Summary										
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics					
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.808a	.653	.479	2.37173	.653	3.763	3	6	.079	

a. Predictors: (Constant), leverage ratio, asset turnover ratio, profit

Source: Calculation by using SPSS.

Table 5: ANOVA ^a									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	63.505	3	21.168	3.763	.079b			
1	Residual	33.751	б	5.625					
	Total	97.255	9						

a. Dependent Variable: return on equity, b. Predictors: (Constant), leverage ratio, assets turn over ratio, profit Source: Calculation by using SPSS.

	Table 6: Coefficients ^a										
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B				
		В	Std. Error	Beta		-	Upper Bound	Lower Bound			
	(Constant)	12.307	7.306		1.684	.143	-5.570	30.183			
	profit	.188	.230	.412	.817	.445	375	.752			
1	assest turn over ratio	024	.063	176	376	.720	177	.130			
	leverage ratio	-3.122	2.092	422	-1.492	.186	-8.241	1.998			
а	a Dependent Variable: return on equity										

Source: Calculation by using SPSS.

Table 7: Rank Metrics

RANK METRICS FROM 2011-2021 AS PER RETRUN ON EQUITY										
NAME	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
ACC	2	8	2	3	4	2	7	4	5	4
AMBUJA	5	7	4	2	5	1	5	6	6	5
BIRLA	3	2	3	5	6	6	8	5	7	8
DALMIA	9	1	10	9	8	4	9	7	9	7
ЈКС	6	6	8	4	2	7	4	8	4	2
JKLM	4	4	7	6	3	5	6	10	8	10
PRISM	7	5	6	10	10	10	10	9	10	9
RAMCO	8	9	9	8	7	8	2	1	1	3
STAR	10	10	1	7	9	9	3	3	2	1
ULTRATECH	[1	3	5	1	1	3	1	2	3	6

Source: Developed by Author.

FINDINGS

As per Table 1, most of the companies are showing a downfall in recent year 2020-21 compared to 2011-12, especially in 2018-19, but some firms like RAMCO and STAR cement increased the ROE in 2020-21, 2019-20. It means both companies changed their strategic decision making for improvement. In this paper, value of ANOVA is 0.7 and we accepted the alternate hypothesis as there is some relationship between ROE with NP, ATR, LR. Ranked analysis table, shows ULTRATECH Cement is leading, it acquired first rank in four years out of ten, while Prism Cement Ltd having last rank in five years. In past two years, RAMCO and STAR Cement Ltd performed well and able to achieve top rank; other hand big companies like ULTRATECH, JKLAXMI, BIRLA failed to get positon.

CONCLUSION

The financial performance of companies can measure by different tools. Dupont Analysis is one of the tools, in this we judge ROE by three factors net profit ratio, asset turnover ratio and leverage ratio. By using this method, the study shows that companies not depend on their market share and big companies not able to retain their performance due to various environmental factors.

REFERENCE

- Arditti, F. D. (1967). RISK AND THE REQUIRED RETURN ON EQUITY. *The Journal of Finance*, *22*(1), 19–36. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1967.tb01651.x
- Bhagyalakshmi, M. K., & Saraswathi, S. (2019). a Study on Financial Performance Evaluation Using Dupont Analysis in Select Automobile Companies. *International Journal* of Management, 9(354), 354–362.
- Chang, K. J., Chichernea, D. C., & HassabElnaby, H. R. (2014). On the DuPont analysis in the health care industry. In *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* (Vol. 33, Issue 1, pp. 83–103). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaccpubpol.2013.10.002
- Herciu, M., Ogrean, C., & Belascu, L. (2011). A Du Pont Analysis of the 20 Most Profitable Companies in the World. *IACSIT Press*, *1*, 45–48.
- Jensen, M. (2001). Value Maximisation, Stakeholder Theory, and the Corporate Objective Function. *European*



Financial Management, *7*(3), 297–317. https://doi. org/10.1111/1468-036X.00158

- Padake, V., & Soni, R. (2015). Measurement of Efficiency of Top 12 Banks in India Using DuPont Analysis. *IUP Journal of Bank Management*, 14(4), 59–68.
- Rogova, E. (2014). Dupont Analysis Of The Efficiency And Investment Appeal Of Russian Oil-Extracting Companies. December 2012. https://doi.org/10.3846/bm.2014.021
- Sengupta, A., & Gupta, D. (2018). Analysis of Trade off Between Debt and Equity of Firms in Indian Cement Industry. SAMRIDDHI : A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 10(1), 41–46. https://doi.org/10.18090/ samriddhi.v9i02.6
- Shahnia, C., & Endri, E. (2020). Dupont Analysis for the financial performance of trading, service & investment companies

in Indonesia. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 5(4), 193–211. https://ijisrt.com/assets/upload/files/IJISRT20APR346.pdf

- Sheela, S. C. (2012). Financial Performance of Pharmaceutical Industry in India using DuPont Analysis. 4(14), 84–91.
- Soliman, M. T. (2005). Using Industry-Adjusted DuPont Analysis to Predict Future Profitability. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.456700
- Thorpe, R. H. J. (2008). *Performance Management: Multidisciplinary Perspectives* (Thorp Richard (ed.)). PALGRAVE MACMILLAN Houndmills.
- Kim, Hak-sun. (2016). A Study of Financial Performance using DuPont Analysis in Food Distribution Market. *Culinary Science & Hospitality Research*, 22(6), 52–60. https://doi. org/10.20878/cshr.2016.22.6.005005005